Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/685,342	DALEBOUT ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Victor K. Hwang	3764
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Victor K. Hwang</u> .	(3) Michael Ballard.	
(2) <u>Dave Dellenbach</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>7/28/05 & 7/30/05</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 1-24		
Prior art documents discussed: Chen, Gogarty, Brice, Bowman		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Authorization for Examiner's amendment to correct typographical errors and to clarify thatat least portions of the locking mechanisms are selectively inserted into the ends of the handle and the moveable member moves with respect to the rod.		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	'Applicant's Representative Si	onature – if appropriate)
(Application		garbiopilato)